INTERNAL AUDIT DELIVERY PLAN 2010/11

Key Service Activities

	Activity levels & output description	Performance Indicators/ Timing	Statutory/ Non-Statutory	Lead + IA Team Resources	Impacts re partners, or other parts of council
1	Prepare risk based internal audit operational plan for 2010/11 <i>Output = operational plan + resource</i> <i>calculation</i>	To be completed by end of Q4	Non-statutory – Professional Requirement (CIPFA)	Service Manager, Internal Audit(SM,IA) + Quality Control Auditor (QCA) + Internal Audit (IA) Team	Input required from all Directorates + Corporate Strategy Board (CSB) + Governance, Audit and Risk Management Committee (GARMC)
2	Co-ordination of the Corporate Management Assurance Programme + reporting to CSB & GARM Output = Divisional, Directorate & Corporate Management Assurance Statements + covering report CSB/GARM	Exercise Q4/Q1 – reporting CSB/GARM in June	Feeds into statutory requirement to produce Annual Governance Statement (AGS)	SM, IA + QCA	Input required from all Directorates, Divisional Directors + Corporate Directors
3	Co-ordination of the ongoing review of governance framework + IA and Corporate Governance Working Group (CGWG) input to AGS + reporting to Corporate Governance Group (CGG) GARM/CSB <i>Output = AGS evidence table, AGS +</i> <i>reports to GARM/CSB</i>	Q4/Q1 mainly, reporting to CSB/GARM in August/Septe mber	Statutory requirement to produce AGS	SM,IA	Input from CGWG, CGG, managers + CSB/GARM

	Activity levels & output description	Performance Indicators/ Timing	Statutory/ Non-Statutory	Lead + IA Team Resources	Impacts re partners, or other parts of council
4	Achievement of internal audit operational plan 2010/11 <i>Output = IA reports</i>	CIPFA PI 90% + local PIs covering performance/q uality of service	Feeds into statutory requirement for authority to have 'effective IA function'	SM,IA + IA Team	Input required from all Directorates on a review by review basis
5	Timely Achievement of Key Control reviews of core financial systems + update of core financial system notes + walkthroughs + co-ordination of self-assessmentsOutput = IA reports + updated system notes	Local PI = 100% by end of Q1 (June)	Non-statutory – required for external audit reliance	SM, IA + IA Team	Input required from staff within Housing Rents, Accounts Payable, Accounts Receivable, Payroll, Housing Benefits, Council Tax, Non- Domestic Rates
6	Mid-year & year-end reporting to CSB & GARM + Quarterly improvement board reports Output = mid-year + year end reports + quarterly improvement board reports	Improvement Board reports end of each quarter, mid- year report October, year- end report June	Non-statutory - CIPFA Professional Requirement	SM, IA + QCA + IA Team	No impact
7	Provide objective, constructive and timely support and advice to managers on SFIs, Fin Regulations, Risk Management and control improvement <i>Output = written & verbal advice & reports</i>	As required throughout year	Non-statutory	SM,IA + IA Team	Input from staff within Directorates as necessary
8	Feed into risk management process via attendance of Risk Steering Group Output = IA contribution to corporate risk management process	Throughout the year	Non-statutory – recognised good practice	SM, IA	No impact

	Activity levels & output description	Performance Indicators/ Timing	Statutory/ Non-Statutory	Lead + IA Team Resources	Impacts re partners, or other parts of council
9	Keep Audit Manual up to date Output = updated audit manual	Throughout the year	Non-statutory – CIPFA Professional Requirement	SM. IA + Auditor responsible (CC)	No impact
10	Audit reviews completed in accordance professional and local internal audit and quality standards Output = audit reports and working papers that comply with CIPFA and local internal audit and quality standards	Throughout the year	Feeds into statutory requirement for authority to have 'effective IA function' + CIPFA Professional Requirement	SM, IA + QCA + IA Team	No impact
11	Liaise with CAFT on fraud and irregularity cases and on reviews with a specific objective of fraud prevention <i>Output = co-ordinated approach to fraud</i> <i>and irregularity</i>	Throughout the year	Non-statutory Good Practice	SM. IA + IA Team	Input required from CAFT
12	To undertake follow-up reviews of all red & red/amber reports within 3 months and all amber and amber/green reports within 6 months <i>Output = follow-up review reports</i>	Throughout the year Local PI – 100% of follow-ups started within specified timescale	Feeds into statutory requirement for authority to have 'effective IA function' + CIPFA Professional Requirement	SM, IA + IA Team	Input required from all Directorates

	Activity levels & output description	Performance Indicators/ Timing	Statutory/ Non-Statutory	Lead + IA Team Resources	Impacts re partners, or other parts of council
13	Monitor client satisfaction with Internal Audit services	Throughout the year	Feeds into statutory requirement for	SM, IA + QCA	Input required from all Directorates
	Output = monitoring information to be fed into mid-year and year-end report	Local PI – 80% overall client satisfaction level	authority to have 'effective IA function' + CIPFA Professional		
14	Plan and manage FMSiS external assessments of schools and report to Department for Children Schools and Families (DCSF)	Q4, meet DCSF requirement	Statutory	SM, IA + Schools Auditor	Input required from schools + School Support Team
	Output = External assessments + outturn report				

Project Planning Management improvement tasks contributing to service improvement identified via the self-assessment against CIPFA Standards

	 Plan, project, or programme including objectives 	Responsibility Manager 	Timescale/ Milestones
	• outcomes	Officer	
	measures of success		
	Implement action plan developed from IA self-assessment against CIPFA Code detailed below.	Overall responsibility	During 2010/11 as per action
	Objective = to improve on current 80% compliance with professional requirements Outcomes = full compliance with professional requirements and more robust audit processes	of the Service Manager,	plan below
	Measure of success = increased compliance with CIPFA standards demonstrated via 09/10 self-	Internal Audit	
	assessment	Internal Audit	
1.1	Review and update the following in line with CIPFA Standards		
	Internal Audit Terms of Reference	SM,IA	October 2010
	Internal Audit Strategy	SM,IA	June 2010
		Auditor (CC)	October 2010

APPENDIX B

	 Internal Audit Performance and Quality Framework 	QCA	October 2010
1.2	Partnerships		
	 Consideration to be given to how assurance to be sought from partnerships in consultation with GARM Committee 	SM,IA	October 2010
	 Internal audit access rights to services delivered in partnership to be considered and agreed with Council's key partners. 		
1.3	Reminder of ethical responsibilities to be added to IPADS to invoke 6 monthly reminder	SM, IA	October 2010
1.4	To purchase CIPFA guidelines on auditor skills/competencies to ensure compliance	SM,IA	July 2010
1.5	Formal agreement to be reached on how risk registers will be updated as a result on Internal	SM,IA	October 2010
	Audit work		

Service Performance Scorecard

	Indicator	Target	
1	Overall client satisfaction	95%	
2	Recommendations agreed for implementation	95%	
3	Final reports issued on/ahead of time	85%	
4	Projects completed within budgeted time allowance	85%	
5	Target met for issue of draft report after end of fieldwork	85%	
6	Follow-up undertaken	100%	
7	Plan achieved for Key Control reviews		
8	Plan achieved overall (Key indicator)	90%	

Key Risks to Service and Project Delivery

Risk No.	Risk Description – M what is the risk and its consequence	litigation in place	Risk Rating	What else are we doing?	Action Owner	Risk Owner	Risk Status (RAG)
1	Staff leave reducing E capacity and ability to of complete the annual proplan.		D2	Corporate well being programme, staff benefits	SM,IA	SM,IA	Amber
2	, ,	ime built into plan to over investigations	C3		SM,IA	SM,IA	Amber

Risk Rating Criteria

	LIKELIHOOD	CRITERIA
F	Almost Impossible	0 – 2%
Е	Very Low	3 - 9% (extremely unlikely)
D	Low	10 – 24% (low but could occur)
С	Significant	25 – 50% (fairly likely to occur)
В	High	51 – 80% (more likely to occur than not)
А	Very High	> 80% (almost certainly will occur)

	IMPACT - THREATS	CRITERIA
I	Catastrophic	Key objectives would not be met or serious threat of fraud
II	Critical	Serious threat to achievement of objectives or potential threat of fraud
	Marginal	Small effect on objectives
IV	Negligible	Trivial effect on objectives (will only report verbally)

Risk Scores - Threats					
C1-C2, B1-B2, A1-A2 High Risk					
E1, D1-D2, C3, B3, A3	Medium Risk				
F1-F4, E2-E4, D3-D4, C4, Low Risk B4, A4					

RIS	RISK RATING - THREATS							
	A Very High							
L I K	B High							
E L I H	C Significant		2					
O O D	D Low			1				
	E Very Low							
	F Almost Impossible							
		4 Negligible	3 Marginal	2 Critical	1 Catastrophic			
			Impact					